

05 March 2026

## Regulation (EU) 2022/2554 on Digital Operational Resilience for the Financial Sector – Register of Information Additional Data Quality Checks | Year 2026

### **This Circular applies only to Financial Entities within the scope of the DORA Regulation**

This circular links to:

- A. circular titled [Regulation \(EU\) 2022/2554 on Digital Operational Resilience for the Financial Sector – Register of Information Reporting Timelines for the Year 2026 and Onwards](#) published by the Authority on 3 November 2025; and
- B. circular titled [Regulation \(EU\) 2022/2554 on Digital Operational Resilience for the Financial Sector – Register of Information Reporting Reminder | Year 2026](#) published by the Authority on 28 January 2026.

In line with **Article 7 Quality of Data and Revisions** of the [2024 ESAs Board of Supervisory Decisions](#), the European Supervisory Authorities ['ESAs'] will be carrying out additional data quality checks on the 2026 Register of Information ['RoI'] submissions, to address incorrect data [examples provided in Annex I overleaf].

These checks are planned to be carried out during April 2026 [subsequent to the mandated reporting period – 01 January 2026 to 21 March 2026]. In April 2026, the Authority may therefore receive additional feedback from the ESAs on submitted RoIs which fail to pass these checks, even though an "Accepted" Status is attained on the LH Portal upon submission. In that case, the Authority will reach out the respective Financial Entities with an expectation for resubmission, without undue delay, by **30 April 2026**. Financial Entities can reduce the likelihood of being asked to make a resubmission by ensuring that the RoI data is correct prior to submission.

Credit Institutions classified as significant, in accordance with Article 6(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1024/2013 and which are directly supervised by the European Central Bank ['ECB'], are to be guided by the ECB regarding the submission of their RoI.

Queries are to be addressed to the Supervisory ICT Risk and Cybersecurity ['SIRC'] Function at [roi@mfsa.mt](mailto:roi@mfsa.mt).

## Annex I | Examples of Additional Data Quality Checks

### Additional data quality feedback – examples

row	column	reported value	error code	error message
1	c0060	not applicable	E001	Generic value reported for the name of the ICT third-party service provider in Latin alphabet
2	c0110	9999999DUMMY999999999	E005	Invalid value reported for the identification code of the third-party service provider's ultimate parent undertaking
5	c0010	LEI: 529900T8BM49AURSD055, name: AWS (Amazon Web Services)	E013	LEI code reported in the field c0010 does not correspond to the reported name of the third party provider. Please check.
17	c0010	ESA1234567Z	E003	Invalid value reported for the identification code of the third-party service provider
20	c0030	TRUE	E004	Invalid value reported for the additional identification code of the third-party service provider
21	c0010	DUMMYLEI000003136588	E003	Invalid value reported for the identification code of the third-party service provider
72	c0010	GB_CRN_06793966	E006	Invalid value reported for the identification code of the third-party service provider. Please make sure that the type of the code (CRN) is reported in a dedicated field, and not as a part of the code.
100	c0010	4185	E012	Value reported for the identification code of the third-party service provider is too short.
938	c0010	US EIN# 88-1062052	E009	Invalid value reported for the identification code of the third-party service provider. Please make sure to report only the code, not including type of the code or special characters.
129	c0110	549300WCLFVEBTBNRF76	E018	The reported identification code of the third-party service provider's ultimate parent undertaking does not correspond to the ultimate parent for this entity in GLEIF (INR2EJN1ERAN0W5ZP974). Please check.