

Major ICT-Related Incident Report Instructions

Major ICT-Related Incident Report

Instructions

1. Licence Holders / Authorised Persons should fill out the relevant section of the template, depending on the reporting phase they are in: Section / Sheet A for the Initial Report, Section / Sheet B for Intermediate Reports and Section / Sheet C for the Final Report. The same file should be used when submitting the Initial, Intermediate and Final Reports related to the same Major ICT-Related Incident. All fields are mandatory, unless it is clearly specified otherwise.

2. Please Select the Type of Report:

	Type of Report
A - Initial Report	The Initial Report (Section / Sheet C) is the first notification that the Licence Holder / Authorised Person submits to the Malta Financial Services Authority (MFSA) within four (4) hours after a Major ICT-Related Incident is classified as Major. Incidents should be classified as Major within twenty-four (24) hours after they are detected.
B - Intermediate Report	The Intermediate Report provides a more detailed description of the Major ICT-Related Incident and its consequences and is to be submitted within three (3) working days from the submission of the Initial Report. It updates the Initial Report (and where applicable, a previous Intermediate Report) on the same Incident. It informs the MFSA that regular activities have been recovered and business is back to normal.
C - Final Report	The Final Report is the last report sent on the Incident since: i) a root cause analysis has already been carried out and estimations can be replaced with real figures; or ii) the Incident is not considered major anymore and need to be reclassified because it no longer meets the threshold to be considered major and is not expected to fulfil it before it is resolved. This is to be submitted within twenty (20) working days from the submission of the Intermediate Report.



Major ICT-Related Incident Report Initial Report

- Initial Report								
Note: The Initial Report is to be submitted within four (4) he wenty-four (24) hours after they are detected. An explain equired longer than twenty-four (24) hours.						-		
A0.1 Report Date and Time	Di	ate (dd/mm/yyyy)			1			
40.1 Report Date and Time		Time (hh:mm)	-]]			
and the second s	H	Time (m.m.,	<u> </u>					
0.2 Incident Reference Number								
		A1 - Gener	al Det	ails				
1.1 Licence Holder / Authorised Person Name as per MFSA Financial Services Register)								
A1.2 Licence Holder / Authorised Person Identification as per MFSA Financial Services Register)								
A1.3 Company Registration Number (MBR)	一							
A1.4 Licenced/Authorised Activities Affected by the		Company Service Provider	□ F	inancial Institution		Securities and Markets		Trustees and Other
ncident							_	Fiduciaries
select where applicable)	Ш	Compensation Scheme		surance		Investment Firm		Virtual Financial Assets
		Depositor Compensation Scheme Investor Compensation		Insurance Undertaking		Fund Manager		
		Scheme		Insurance Intermediary		Custodian / Depositary		
		Credit Institution		ensions Retirement Schemes -		Fund		
		Credit Institution		Personal		Recognised Person		
		Credit Intermediary		Retirement Schemes - Occupational		Trading Venue		
				Service Provider		Central Securities Depository		
				Retirement Fund		Data Reporting Service Provider		
						Securitisation		
						Capital Market		
						Market Oversight Crowd Funding		
		Other		e than one Activity please e each Activity with a				
A1.5 Head of Group (N/A if not applicable)								
A1.6 Head of Group Country of Establishment	一							
(N/A if not applicable)								
A1.7 Country/ies Affected by the Incident		AT Austria	_	E Estonia		IE Ireland		
(select where applicable)	_	BE Belgium BG Bulgaria		L Greece		IT Italy LT Lithuania		PT Portugal RO Romania
		BG Bulgaria CY Cyprus		S Spain I Finland		LU Luxembourg		SE Sweden
		CZ Czechia		R France		LV Latvia		SI Slovenia
		DE Germany		IR Croatia		MT Malta		SK Slovakia
		DK Denmark		IU Hungary		NL Netherlands		
		CH SwitzerlandGB United Kingdom	of Green		Irola	LI Liechtenstein		NO Norway
		Other Chief	Pleas	e specify e than one other country, separate each country name	Γ	IU		
					L		_	
A1.8 Primary Contact Person		Name					<u> </u>	
		Surname			-		<u> </u>	
		Designation						
		Email Address]	
		Direct Land Line]	
		Mobile]	
		Name						
A1.9 Secondary Contact Person	ـــا ل	- Italiic	J					



Major ICT-Related Incident Report

Initial Report

		Designation					
		Email Address					
		Direct Land Line					
		Mobile					
			n and Classification				
A2.1 Incident Detection Date and Time	D	ate (dd/mm/yyyy)]			
		Time (hh:mm)		_			
A2.2 Incident Classification Date and Time	D	ate (dd/mm/yyyy)		<u> </u>			
		Time (hh:mm)]			
A2.3 Incident Detection Mechanism		Please Select				*	
		If Other					
		Please Specify					
		i icacc opcomy					
A2.4 Incident Type	7 _	Security	☐ Operational				
AZ.4 Incluent Type		Security	_ Operational				
A2.5 Criteria for Classifying an ICT-Related Incident as		Transactions	☐ Service Downtime		Economic Impact		Other Licence Holders / Authorised
Major		Affected		_			Persons / Relevant
		Users Affected	☐ Breach of Security		High Level of Internal Escalation		Infrastructures Affected
							Aireoteu
					Geographical		
					Geographical Spread		Reputational Impact
A2.6 A Short and General description of the Incident							Reputational Impact
A2.6 A Short and General description of the Incident							Reputational Impact
A2.6 A Short and General description of the Incident							Reputational Impact
A2.6 A Short and General description of the Incident							Reputational Impact
A2.6 A Short and General description of the Incident							Reputational Impact
A2.6 A Short and General description of the Incident							Reputational Impact
							Reputational Impact
A2.7 Impact in other EU Member States							Reputational Impact
A2.7 Impact in other EU Member States							Reputational Impact
A2.7 Impact in other EU Member States (N/A if not applicable)		Yes	□ No				Reputational Impact
A2.7 Impact in other EU Member States (N/A if not applicable)							Reputational Impact
A2.7 Impact in other EU Member States (N/A if not applicable)		If Yes					Reputational Impact
A2.7 Impact in other EU Member States (N/A if not applicable)							Reputational Impact
A2.7 Impact in other EU Member States (N/A if not applicable)		If Yes					Reputational Impact
A2.7 Impact in other EU Member States (N/A if not applicable)		If Yes					Reputational Impact
A2.7 Impact in other EU Member States (N/A if not applicable)		If Yes					Reputational Impact
A2.8 Reporting to other Authorities		If Yes					Reputational Impact
A2.7 Impact in other EU Member States (N/A if not applicable) A2.8 Reporting to other Authorities A2.9 Reasons for Late Submission		If Yes					Reputational Impact
A2.7 Impact in other EU Member States (N/A if not applicable) A2.8 Reporting to other Authorities		If Yes					Reputational Impact



Major ICT-Related Incident Report Intermediate Report

B - Intermediate Report					
Note:					
- If this is not the Intermediate Report being submitted, please le			No. a sut		
- The Intermediate Report is to be submitted within three (3) wo B0.1 Report Date and Time	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	omission of the initial R	кероп.		
BO. I Report Date and Time	Time (hh:mm)				
B0.2 Incident Reference Number	Time (mi.min)				
	B1 - General I	Details			
B1.1 Changes Made in Sheet A - Initial Report (Question					
Numbers Only) (if more than one question, please separate each question					
number with a comma; N/A if not applicable)					
B1.2 Description of the Changes Made in Sheet A - Initial					
Report					
(N/A if not applicable)					
B1.3 What is/was the Specific Issue?					
B1.3 What is/was the Specific issue?					
B1.4 How did the Incident Start?					
Pd 5 Hour did it 5 veloce					
B1.5 How did it Evolve?					
B1.6 Was it related to a previous incident?	☐ Yes	□ No			
	If Yes Provide	l			
	If No Reference				
	Number Please Specify				
		` L			
B1.7 Were Other Licence Holders / Authorised Persons / Third Parties Affected or Involved?	□ Yes	□ No			
Timu i artico Arreotea of Involvea.					
	If Yes				
B1.8 Incident Start Date and Time	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)				
	Time (hh:mm)				
B1.9 Has the Incident been Resolved?	□ Yes	□ No			
	If Yes Provide Date and Time If No Provide Estimate Future	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)			
	Date and Time	Time (hh:mm)			
	2 - Incident Classifica	tion and Impact		Other Authorised	
B2.1 Incident Classification	Transactions Affected		Economic Impact	Persons / Financial Entities / Relevant	
	Number of Transactions	Duration (hh:mm)		Infrastructures Affected	
	% of Regular Number of Transactions			Please describe:	
	Value of Transactions (FUD)		□ Occurrential Occurrent		
	Value of Transactions (EUR)		Geographical Spread		
	Duration (hh:mm)				
	Users Affected	Breach of Security Measures	High Level of Internal	Reputational Impact	
	Number of Users	•	Escalation Please describe:	Please describe:	
	% of overall users	Physical Security ICT Operations Security			
I	% of Overdil users	ICT Operations Security		11 I	



Major ICT-Related Incident Report Intermediate Report

B2.2 Overall Impact B2.3 Financial Services Affected	Security Monitoring	
B2.4 What are the Consequences? (in particular for users)		
	B3 - Incident Description	
B3.1 Incident Type	Secruity Operational	
B3.2 Root Cause	Malicious Action Abusive Centent Deficient Noting and Control Deficient Noting and Cont	

Version: V0.5 Page **5** of **15**



Major ICT-Related Incident Report Intermediate Report

				٠.
		Masquerade		
		Phishing		
		Advanced Persistent Threat (Please indicate		
		number in space provided for Other)		
		Other (use space below)		
		External Event		
		Failure of supplier / technical service provider	☐ Force Majeure ☐ Other (use space below)	
		Other	Please specify (please indicate whether Malicious	
			Action, Process Failure, System Failure, Human Error, External Event, or Other)	
		Still Under Investigation	on (Information to be provided in an another Report)	
B3.3 Was the Incident Affecting you Directly, or Indirectly through a Service Provider		Directly	□ Indirectly	
	Р	If Indirectly lease Provide the Name of the Service Provider		
	2.4	Incident Dec	and Mitigation	
		Incident Response	e and Mitigation	
B4.1 Which actions/measures have been taken so far or are planned to recover from the incident?				
	L			
B4.2 Was Crisis Management started (internal and/or external)?		Yes	□ No	
		If Voc		
		If Yes		
B4.3 Was the Incident communicated to the users?		Yes	□ No	
57.0 Was the incluent communicated to the users:		If Yes		
		it yes		
B4.4 Has the Business Continuity Plan and/or Disaster Recovery Plan been activated?		Yes	□ No	
		s Provide Date and Time	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	
		Provide Estimate Future and Time		
			Time (hh:mm)	
		10.17		
		If Yes Please Describe		

Version: V0.5 Page **6** of **15**



Major ICT-Related Incident Report Final Report

Major ICT-Related Incident Report C - Final Report					
Note:					
- If this is not the Final Report being submitted, please leave this - The Final Report is to be submitted within twenty (20) working			and:		
- Sheet <u>B - Intermediate Report</u> should be fully completed and	updated as part of the	e submission of the F		n Intermediate Report h	as already
been submitted or not except in the circumstance whereby an in		back to non-Major.			
C0.1 Report Date and Time	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)				
C0.2 Incident Reference Number	Time (hh:mm)				
C0.3 Incident Re-classified back to Non-Major	□ Yes	□ No			
O.S incident Ne classified back to Non Major	If Yes Please				
	C1 - General I)etails			
C1.1 Changes Made in Sheet A - Initial Report (Question	O1 Ochician	- Ctuno			
Numbers Only)					
(if more than one question, please separate each question number with a comma; <i>N/A if not applicable</i>)					
C1.2 Description of the Changes Made in Sheet A - Initial					
<u>Report</u>					
(N/A if not applicable)					
C1.3 Changes Made in Sheet <u>B</u> <u>- Intermediate Report</u>					
(Question Numbers Only) (if more than one question, please separate each question					
number with a comma; N/A if not applicable)					
C1.4 Description of the Changes Made in Sheet <u>B</u> -					
<u>Intermediate Report</u> (N/A if not applicable)					
(пул п пот аррпсавте)					
C1.5 Other Relevant Information					
(N/A if not applicable)					
C1.6 Are All Original Controls in Place	□ v _a ,	□ No			
(N/A if not applicable)	□ Yes	□ No			
	If No				
	Please Specify				
	2 - Root Cause Analys				
C2.1 Root Cause	Malicious Action	Process Failure Deficient Monitoring and	System Failure	Human Error	
	☐ Abusive Content ☐ Web Defacement	Control Communication Issues	Hardware Failure Network Failure	Unintended Inaction	
	Malicious Code	Improper Operations	☐ Database Issues	Insufficient Resources	
	Virus	Inadequate Change Management	Software / Application Failure	Other (use space below)	

Page **7** of **15** Version: V0.5



Major ICT-Related Incident Report Final Report

		Worm		Inadequacy of Internal Procedures and Documentation	Physical Damage	
		Trojan		Recovery Issues	Other (use space below)	
		Spyware		Other (use space below)		
		Dialler				
		Rootkit				
		Information Gathering Scanning				
		Sniffing				
		Social Engineering				
		Intrusions				
		Privileged Account Compromise				
		Unprivileged Account Compromise				
		Application Compromise				
		Bot				
		Availability				
		DOS				
		DDOS				
		Deliberate Internal				
		Actions Sabotage				
		Theft				
		Deliberate External				
		Physical Damage				
		Sabotage				
		Physical Attack of Premises				
		Information Content Security				
		Unauthorised Access to Information				
		Unauthorised Modification of				
		Information Fraudulent Actions				
		Unauthorised Use of Resources				
		Copyright				
		Masquerade				
		Phishing				
		Advanced Persistent Threat (Please indicate number in space				
		provided for Other)				
		Other (use space below)				
		External Event Failure of supplier /		Force Majeure	Other (use space below)	
		Other	Plea	ase specify		
			(plea	se indicate whether Malicious n, Process Failure, System		
				re, Human Error, External t, or Other)		
		Still Under Investigati	on (Ir	formation to be provided	in an another Report)	
C2.2 Other Relevant Information on the Root Cause						
C2.3 Main Corrective Actions/Measures Taken or Planned to						
Prevent the Incident from Happening again in the Future						
	<u> </u>	C3 - Additional Ir	ofo-	mation		
C3.1 Has the Incident Been Shared with other Licence		CS - Additional If	nori	iiduuii		
Holders / Authorised Persons?						
(N/A if not applicable)						

Page **8** of **15** Version: V0.5

MFSA-CONFIDENTIAL



Major ICT-Related Incident Report Final Report

C3.2 Has any Legal Action Been Taken Against the Licence	
Holder / Authorised Person? (N/A if not applicable)	
C3.3 Assessment of the Effectiveness of the Actions Taken	

Version: V0.5 Page **9** of **15**

Major ICT-Related Incident Report Explainers

A0.1 Report Date and Time	The date and time at which the Initial Report has been compiled.
A0.2 Incident Reference Number	A reference number is issued by the MFSA at the time of the initial report to unequivocally ident the incident. If this is known to the Licence Holder / Authorised Person (if, for instance, an Init Report is being resent after the MFSA issued the reference number), it should be inputted. Plea write N/A if not applicable.
	A1 - General Details
A1.1 Licence Holder / Authorised Person Name (as per MFSA Financial Services Register)	Full name of the Licence Holder / Authorised Person as it appears on the MFSA Financial Servic Register.
A1.2 Licence Holder / Authorised Person Identification (as per MFSA Financial Services Register)	Identification of the Licence Holder / Authorised Person as it appears on the MFSA Financ Services Register.
A1.3 Company Registration Number (MBR)	Malta Business Registry Company Registration Number.
A1.4 Licenced/Authorised Activities Affected by the Incident	Select the financial services activities licenced/authorised by the Malta Financial Services Authorised which are affected by the Incident. If none of the listed financial services, please select "Other" a specify within the space provided.
A1.5 Head of Group (N/A if not applicable)	In case of groups please indicate the name of the head entity. Please write N/A if not applicable.
A1.6 Head of Group Country of Establishment (N/A if not applicable)	In case of groups please indicate the country of establishment of the head entity. Please write N if not applicable.
A1.7 Country/ies Affected by the Incident (select where applicable)	Please select the country or countries where the impact of the incident has materialised irrespect of the severity of the incident in the other country/countries. It may or may not be the same as thome Member State.
A1.8 Primary Contact Person	The name, surname, designation, email address, direct landline and mobile number (the details) the person responsible for reporting the incident or, the details of the person in charge of t incident management/risk department or similar area, at the affected Licence Holder / Authoris Person.
A1.9 Secondary Contact Person	The details of an alternate person responsible for reporting the incident or, the details of talternate person in charge of the incident management/risk department or similar area, at taffected Licence Holder / Authorised Person.
A	12 - Incident Detection and Classification
A2.1 Incident Detection Date and Time	Date and time at which the incident was first identified.
A2.2 Incident Classification Date and Time	Date and time at which the security or operational incident has been classified as major.
A2.3 Incident Detection Mechanism	Indicate whether the incident was detected by one of the detection mechanisms provided. If it we none of those, please provide an explanation in the corresponding field.
A2.4 Incident Type	Indicate whether, to the best of your knowledge and if the information is available, it is an operation or a security incident. Operational: incident stemming from inadequate or failed processes, people and systems or ever
	of force majeure that affect the integrity, availability, confidentiality and/or authenticity of a financial service/s. Security: Unauthorised access, use, disclosure, disruption, modification or destruction of the Licer Holder / Authorised Person's assets that affects the integrity, availability, confidentiality and authenticity of a financial service/s. This may happen, among other things, when the Licence Hold / Authorised Person experiences a breach of security of network or information systems.
A2.5 Criteria for Classifying an ICT-Related Incident as Major	Please indicate which of the criteria have triggered the major incident report. Multiple choices major be selected between the criteria.
A2.6 A Short and General description of the Incident	Please explain briefly the most relevant issues of the incident, covering possible causes, immedia impacts, etc.

Version: V0.5 Page **10** of **15**



Major ICT-Related Incident Report Explainers

A2.7 Impact in other EU Member States (N/A if not applicable)	Please explain briefly the impact the incident had in another EU Member State. Please write N/A if not applicable.
A 2.8 Reporting to other Authorities	Please indicate whether the incident has been/will be reported to other authorities under separate incident reporting frameworks, if known at the time of reporting. If so, please specify the respective authorities.
A 2.9 Reasons for Late Submission (N/A if not applicable)	Please explain the reasons for requiring more than twenty-four hours to classify the Major ICT-Related Incident as Major.

Major ICT-Related Incident Report B - Intermediate Report Explainer	
B0.1 Report Date and Time	The date and time at which the Intermediate Report has been compiled.
B0.2 Incident Reference Number	The reference number issued by the MFSA at the time of the initial report to unequivocally identify the incident.
	B1 - General Details
B1.1 Changes Made in Sheet <u>A - Initial Report</u> (Question Numbers Only) (if more than one question, please separate each question number with a comma; <i>N/A if not applicable</i>)	Please indicate the question numbers within the Initial Report (related to the same incident) whereby any changes were made to the information already provided. Please write N/A if not applicable.
B1.2 Description of the Changes Made in <u>Sheet A - Initial Report</u> (N/A if not applicable)	Please indicate what changes were made within the Initial Report (related to the same incident) to the information already provided. Please write N/A if not applicable.
B1.3 What is/was the Specific Issue?	Please provide a more detailed description of the incident, by describing the main features.
B1.4 How did the Incident Start?	Please describe how the incident started.
B1.5 How did it Evolve?	Please describe how the incident evolved.
B1.6 Was it related to a previous incident?	Please indicate whether or not the incident is related to a previous incident or incidents, in case this information is available. If the incident has been related to previous incidents, please specify which ones.
B1.7 Were Other Licence Holders / Authorised Persons / Third Parties Affected or Involved?	Please indicate whether or not the incident has affected or involved other service providers/third parties. If the incident has affected or involved other service providers/third parties, please list them and provide more information.
B1.8 Incident Start Date and Time	Date and time at which the incident started.
B1.9 Has the Incident been Resolved?	Did you recover from the incident and restore your capabilities and/or services that were impaired due to the incident? If yes, please provide the date and time at which the incident has been resolved.
	B2 - Incident Classification and Impact

Version: V0.5 Page **11** of **15**



Major ICT-Related Incident Report Explainers

B2.1 Incident Classification

Licence Holders / Authorised Persons should indicate which thresholds are or will likely be reached by the incident, if any, and the related figures.

Licence Holders / Authorised Persons should provide concrete values for these variables, which may be either actual figures or estimations.

Number of transactions affected, percentage of transactions affected (if applicable) as part of the same financial services that have been affected by the incident and the total value of the transactions. As a general rule, Licence Holders / Authorised Persons should understand as 'transactions affected' all domestic and cross-border transactions that have been or will likely be directly or indirectly impacted by the incident and, in particular, those transactions that could not be initiated or processed, those for which the content of the transaction message was altered, and those that were fraudulently ordered (have the funds been recovered or not). Furthermore, Licence Holders / Authorised Persons should understand the regular level of transactions to be the daily annual average of domestic and cross-border transactions carried out with the same services that have been affected by the incident, taking the previous year as the reference period for calculations. In case Licence Holders / Authorised Persons do not consider this figure to be representative (e.g. due to seasonality), they should use another more representative metric instead and convey to the MFSA the underlying rationale for this approach in the field 'Additional Comments'. In the cases where transactions in non-Euro currencies are affected by the incident, when calculating the thresholds and reporting the value of the transactions affected, Licence Holders / Authorised Persons should convert the amount of the transactions in non-Euro currency to Euro by using the ECB daily reference exchange rate for the day preceding the submission of the incident report.

Licence Holders / Authorised Persons should indicate which thresholds are or will likely be reached by the incident, if any, and the related figures:

Users affected: total number of users that have been impacted and percentage of users affected in relation to the total number of users. Licence Holders / Authorised Persons should understand as 'users affected' all customers (either domestic or from abroad, consumers or corporates) that have a contract with the affected Licence Holders / Authorised Persons that grants them access to the affected service, and that have suffered or will likely suffer the consequences of the incident. Authorised Persons / Licence Holders should recur to estimations based on past activity in order to determine the number of users that may have been using the service during the lifetime of the incident. In the case of Licence Holders / Authorised Persons offering operational services to others, that Licence Holder / Authorised Person should only consider its own users (if any), and the Licence Holder / Authorised Person receiving those operational services should also assess the incident in relation to their own users. Furthermore, Licence Holders / Authorised Persons should take as the total number of users the aggregated figure of domestic and cross-border users contractually bound with them at the time of the incident (or, alternatively, the most recent figure available) and with access to the affected service/s, regardless of their size or whether they are considered active or passive users.

Service Downtime: Licence Holders / Authorised Persons should consider the period of time that any task, process or channel related to the provision of services is or will likely be down and, thus, prevents i) the initiation and/or execution of a service and/or, ii) access to an account. Licence Holders / Authorised Persons should count the service downtime from the moment the downtime starts, and they should consider both the time intervals when they are open for business as required for the execution of services as well as the closing hours and maintenance periods, where relevant and applicable. If Licence Holders / Authorised Persons are unable to determine when the service downtime started, they should exceptionally count the service downtime from the moment the downtime is detected.

Breach of Security Measures: Licence Holders / Authorised Persons Holders should determine whether any malicious action has compromised the availability, authenticity, integrity or confidentiality of network or information systems (including data) related to the provision of services

Economic Impact: Licence Holders / Authorised Persons should consider both the costs that can be connected to the incident directly and those which are indirectly related to the incident. Among other things, Licence Holders / Authorised Persons should take into account expropriated funds or assets, replacement costs of hardware or software, other forensic or remediation costs, fees due to noncompliance of contractual obligations, sanctions, external liabilities and lost revenues. As regards the indirect costs, Licence Holders / Authorised Persons should only consider those that are already known or very likely to materialise. In the cases where the costs are in non-Euro currencies, when calculating the threshold and reporting the value of the economic impact, Licence Holders / Authorised Persons should convert the amount of the costs in non-Euro currency to Euro by using the ECB daily reference exchange rate for the day preceding the submission of the incident report.

Direct costs: amount of money (euro) directly caused by the incident, including those needed for the correction of the incident (e.g. expropriated funds or assets, replacement costs of hardware and software, fees due to non-compliance to contractual obligations).

Indirect costs: amount of money (euro) indirectly caused by the incident (e.g. customer redress/compensation costs, potential legal costs).

Geographical Spread: Licence Holders / Authorised Persons should consider the areas affected by the Major ICT-Related Incident, particularly if it affects up to **two (2) Member States** or More.

High Level of Internal Escalation: Licence Holders / Authorised Persons should consider whether, as a result of its impact on financial services, the management body as defined by EBA Guidelines on ICT and security risk management has been or will likely be informed about the incident outside any periodical notification procedure and on a continuous basis throughout the lifetime of the incident. Furthermore, Licence Holders / Authorised Persons should consider whether, as a result of the impact of the incident a crisis mode has been or is likely to be triggered.

Version: V0.5 Page **12** of **15**



Major ICT-Related Incident Report

Other Licence Holders / Authorised Persons / Financial Entities / Relevant Infrastructures Affected: Licence Holders / Authorised Persons should assess the impact of the incident on the financial services industry. In particular, Licence Holders / Authorised Persons should assess whether the incident has been or will likely be replicated at other Licence Holders / Authorised / Financial Entities / Relevant Infrastructures (not necessarily within the Maltese Jurisdiction), and whether it has affected / compromised or will likely affect / compromise the solidity of the financial system as a whole. Licence Holders / Authorised Persons should bear in mind various dimensions such as whether the component/software affected is proprietary or generally available, whether the compromised network is internal or external or whether the Licence Holder / Authorised Person has stopped or will likely stop fulfilling its obligations in the financial services industry and any relevant

Reputational Impact: Licence Holders / Authorised Persons consider the level of visibility that, to their best knowledge, the incident has gained or will likely gain in the marketplace. In particular, Licence Holders / Authorised Persons should consider the likelihood of the incident to cause harm to the society as a good indicator of its potential to impact their reputation. Licence Holders / Authorised Persons should take into account whether i) service users and/or other Licence Holders / Authorised Persons have complained about the adverse impact of the incident, ii) the incident has impacted a visible financial service related process and is therefore likely to receive or has already received media coverage (considering not only traditional media, such as newspapers, but also blogs, social networks, etc. However, media coverage in this context means not only a few negative comments by followers, but there should be a valid report or a significant number of negative comments/alerts.), iii) contractual obligations have been or will likely be missed, resulting in the publication of legal actions towards the Licence Holder / Authorised Person, iv) regulatory requirements have not been complied with, resulting in the imposition of supervisory measures or sanctions that have been or will likely be made publicly available or v) similar type of incident has occurred before.

B2.2 Overall Impact

Please indicate which dimensions have been affected by the operational or security incident. Multiple choices may be selected.

Confidentiality: the property that information is neither made available nor disclosed to unauthorised individuals, entities, processes or systems.

Integrity: the property of safeguarding the accuracy and completeness of assets (including data). Availability: the property of being accessible and usable on demand by an authorised entity... Authenticity: the property of a source being what it claims to be

B2.3 Financial Services Affected

Please provide details as to which financial services are affected by the incident.

B2.4 What are the Consequences? (in particular for users)

Please provide details as to the consequences, the affected financial services highlighted in question B2.3, have on the users of those financial services.

B3 - Incident Description

B3.1 Incident Type

Indicate whether, it is an operational or a security incident.

Operational: incident stemming from inadequate or failed processes, people and systems or events of force majeure that affect the integrity, availability, confidentiality and/or authenticity of a financial

Security: Unauthorised access, use, disclosure, disruption, modification or destruction of the Licence Holder / Authorised Person's assets that affects the integrity, availability, confidentiality and/or authenticity of a financial service/s. This may happen, among other things, when the Licence Holder / Authorised Person experiences a breach of security of network or information systems.

B3.2 Root Cause

Please indicate what the root cause of the incident is or, if it is not known yet, the one that is the most likely. Multiple choices may be selected (please note that the root cause should be distinguished from the impact of the incident). Guidelines on how to fill this section are provided within the Annex to Explainers .

B3.3 Was the Incident Affecting you Directly, or Indirectly through a Service Provider

Please indicate whether or not the incident has targeted directly the Licence Holder / Authorised Person or affect it indirectly through a third party, in case this information is available. In case of an indirect impact, please provide the name of the service provider(s).

B4 - Incident Response and Mitigation

planned to recover from the incident?

B4.1 Which actions/measures have been taken so far or are Please provide details about actions that have been taken or planned to be taken in order to temporarily address the incident.

B4.2 Was Crisis Management started (internal and/or Please indicate whether or not crisis management (internal and/or external) has started. If crisis management has started, please provide more information within the space available.

B4.3 Was the Incident communicated to the users?

Please indicate whether or not the incident was communicated to the users or any communication has taken place with any users. If yes, please provide more information within the space available.

B4.4 Has the Business Continuity Plan and/or Disaster Recovery Plan been activated?

Please indicate whether it has been the case and if so, provide the most relevant details of what happened (i.e. when they were activated and what it consisted of) within the space available.

Major ICT-Related Incident Report

Version: V0.5 Page 13 of 15

Major ICT-Related Incident Report Explainers

C - Final Report Explainer	
C0.1 Report Date and Time	The date and time at which the Final Report has been compiled.
C0.2 Incident Reference Number	The reference number issued by the MFSA at the time of the initial report to unequivocally identify the incident.
C0.3 Incident Re-classified back to Non-Major	If, following further evaluation, the Licence Holder / Authorised Person is re-classifying the incident back to non-major, the Licence Holder / Authorised Person is required to submit a Final Report, marking this question with a "Yes" and providing a reason within the space available.
C1 - General Details	
C1.1 Changes Made in Sheet <u>A - Initial Report</u> (Question Numbers Only) (if more than one question, please separate each question number with a comma; N/A if not applicable)	Please indicate the question numbers within the Initial Report (related to the same incident) whereby any changes were made to the information already provided. Please write N/A if not applicable.
C1.2 Description of the Changes Made in <u>Sheet A - Initial Report</u> (N/A if not applicable)	Please indicate what changes were made within the Initial Report (related to the same incident) to the information already provided. Please write N/A if not applicable.
C1.3 Changes Made in Sheet <u>B</u> <u>- Intermediate Report</u> (Question Numbers Only) (if more than one question, please separate each question number with a comma; N/A if not applicable)	Please indicate the question numbers within the Intermediate Report (related to the same incident) whereby any changes were made to the information already provided. Please write N/A if not applicable.
C1.4 Description of the Changes Made in Sheet <u>B</u> - Intermediate Report (N/A if not applicable)	Please indicate what changes were made within the Intermediate Report (related to the same incident) to the information already provided. Please write N/A if not applicable.
C1.5 Other Relevant Information (N/A if not applicable)	Please provide any other relevant information. Please write N/A if not applicable.
C1.6 Are All Original Controls in Place (N/A if not applicable)	Please indicate whether or not the Licence Holder / Authorised Person had to cancel or weaken some controls at any time during the incident. If so, please indicate whether all controls are back in place and, if not, explain in the free text field which controls are not back in place and the additional period required for their restoration.
C2 - Root Cause Analysis and Follow-up	
C2.1 Root Cause	Please indicate what the root cause of the incident is or, if it is not known yet, the one that is the most likely. Multiple choices may be selected (please note that the root cause should be distinguished from the impact of the incident). Guidelines on how to fill this section are provided within the <i>Annex to Explainers</i> .
C2.2 Other Relevant Information on the Root Cause	Please provide any additional details on the root cause, including the preliminary conclusions drawn from the root cause analysis.
C2.3 Main Corrective Actions/Measures Taken or Planned to Prevent the Incident from Happening again in the Future	Please, describe the main actions that have been taken or are planned to be taken in order to prevent a future reoccurrence of the incident.
C3 - Additional Information	
C3.1 Has the Incident Been Shared with other Licence Holders / Authorised Persons? (N/A if not applicable)	Please provide an overview as to which other Licence Holders / Authorised Persons have been reached out, either formally or informally, to debrief them about the incident, providing details of the Licence Holders / Authorised Persons that have been informed, the information that has been shared and the underlying reasons for sharing this information. Please write N/A if not applicable.
C3.2 Has any Legal Action Been Taken Against the Licence Holder / Authorised Person? (N/A if not applicable)	Please, indicate whether, at the time of filling out the final report, the Licence Holder / Authorised Person has suffered any legal action (e.g. taken to court, lost the licence) as a result of the incident.
C3.3 Assessment of the Effectiveness of the Actions Taken	Please include, where available, a self-assessment of the effectiveness of the actions taken during the duration of the incident, including any lessons learnt from the incident.

Major ICT-Related Incident Report

Annex to Explainers

Table 1: Root Cause

Malicious action – External or internal actions intentionally targeting the Licence Holder / Authorised Person. These are separated into the following categories:

Abusive Content - e.g. web defacement.

Malicious code - e.g. such as a virus, worm, trojan, spyware.

 $\textbf{Information gathering} \cdot \text{e.g. scanning, sniffing, social engineering.}$

Intrusions - e.g. privileged account compromise, unprivileged account compromise, application compromise, bot.

Availability - an attempt to make an online service unavailable.

Deliberate internal actions – e.g. sabotage, theft.

Version: V0.5 Page **14** of **15**



Major ICT-Related Incident Report Explainers

Deliberate external physical damage - e.g. sabotage, physical attack of the premises/data centres.

Information content security - unauthorized access to information, unauthorized modification of information).

Fraudulent actions - unauthorized use of resources, copyright, masquerade, phishing.

Others (use space below) - the cause of the incident is none of the above. Further details should be provided in the free text field.

Process failure: the cause of the incident was a poor design or execution of a process, the process controls and/or the supporting processes (e.g. process for change/migration, testing, configuration, capacity, monitoring). These are separated into the following categories:

Deficient monitoring and control - e.g. in relation to running operations, certificate expiring dates, licence expiring dates, patch expiring dates, defined maximum counter values, database fill levels, user rights management, dual control principle.

 $\textbf{Communication issues} \ - \ \text{e.g.} \ \text{between market participants or within the organisation}.$

Improper operations - e.g. no exchange of certificates, cache is full.

Inadequate change management - e.g. unidentified configuration errors, roll-out including updates, maintenance issues, unexpected errors.

Inadequacy of internal procedures and documentation - e.g. lack of transparency regarding functionalities, processes and occurrence of malfunctioning, absence of documentation.

Recovery issues - e.g. contingency management, inadequate redundancy.

Others (use space below) - the cause of the incident is none of the above. Further details should be provided in the free text field.

System failure: the cause of the incident is associated with a non-adequate design, execution, components, specifications, integration or complexity of the systems, networks, infrastructures and databases that support an activity. These are separated into the following categories:

Hardware failure – failure of physical technology equipment that runs the processes and/or stores the data needed by Licence Holders / Authorised Persons to carry out their activity/ies (e.g. failure of hard drives, data centres, other infrastructure).

Network failure – failure of telecommunications networks, either public or private, that allow the exchange of data and information (e.g. via the Internet) during a process.

Database issues – data structure which stores personal and transaction-related information needed to execute transactions.

Software/application failure – failures of programs, operating systems, etc. that support the provision of services by the Licence Holder / Authorised Person (e.g. malfunctions, unknown functions).

Physical damage - e.g. unintentional damage caused by inadequate conditions, construction work.

Other (use space below) - the cause of the incident is none of the above. Further details should be provided in the free text field.

Human error: the incident was caused by the unintentional mistake of a person, be it as part of a procedure (e.g. uploading a wrong file) or related with it somehow (e.g. the power is accidentally cut-off and the activity is put on hold). These are separated into the following categories:

Unintended - e.g. mistakes, errors, omissions, lack of experience and knowledge.

Inaction - e.g. due to lack of skills, knowledge, experience, awareness.

Insufficient resources - e.g. lack of human resources, availability of staff.

Other (use space below) - the cause of the incident is none of the above. Further details should be provided in the free text field.

External event: the cause is associated with events generally outside the organisation's control. These are separated into the following categories:

Failure of a supplier/technical service provider - e.g. power outage, internet outage, legal issues, business issues, service dependencies.

Force majeure - e.g. power failure, fires, natural causes such as earthquakes, floods, heavy precipitation, heavy wind.

Other (use space below) - the cause of the incident is none of the above. Further details should be provided in the free text field.

Other: the cause of the incident is none of the above. Further details should be provided in the free text field.

Version: V0.5 Page **15** of **15**